Submitted to ApJ
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 5/2/11

arXiv:1302.4458v1 [astro-ph.CO] 18 Feb 2013

# A CAPTURED RUNAWAY BLACK HOLE IN NGC 1277?

G. A. Shields[1], E. W. Bonning [2]

(Received; Accepted)
*Submitted to ApJ*

## ABSTRACT

Recent results indicate that the compact lenticular galaxy NGC 1277 in the Perseus Cluster contains a black hole of mass $\sim 10^{10}\ M_\odot$. This far exceeds the expected mass of the central black hole in a galaxy of the modest dimensions of NGC 1277. We suggest that this giant black hole was ejected from the nearby giant galaxy NGC 1275 and subsequently captured by NGC 1277. The ejection was the result of gravitational radiation recoil when two large black holes merged following the merger of two giant ellipticals that helped to form NGC 1275. The black hole wandered in the cluster core until it was captured in a close encounter with NGC 1277. The migration of black holes in clusters may be a common occurrence.

*Subject headings:* galaxies: active — quasars: general — black hole physics

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recent imaging and spectroscopic analysis of the compact lenticular galaxy NGC 1277, located in the Perseus Cluster, indicates a central ultra-massive black hole (UMBH) with a mass of $M_{\rm BH} \approx 1.7 \times 10^{10}\ M_\odot$ (van den Bosch et al. 2012, "VB12"). The mass exceeds by two orders of magnitude the value expected on the basis of the galaxy's luminosity. In fact, it is the largest black hole mass reported to date on the basis of stellar dynamics. The origin of this black hole is therefore of great interest. Such a massive black hole might be expected to form in the center of a giant elliptical galaxy of the kind found in the centers of rich clusters of galaxies (McConnell et al. 2012). Here we propose that the giant black hole in NGC 1277 did indeed originate in another, much larger galaxy in the cluster. Its formative event was the merger of two giant elliptical galaxies, each having a massive black hole similar to the $\sim 10^{9.8}\ M_\odot$ black hole in M87 (Gebhardt et al. 2011). The in-spiral and merger of these holes resulted in ejection of the product black hole from the merged host galaxy by means of gravitational radiation recoil. We propose that this progenitor galaxy was the giant cD galaxy NGC 1275 that dominates the cluster. The ejected black hole wandered in the core of the cluster, perhaps for billion of years, before a chance encounter with NGC 1277 led to its capture and orbital decay into the nucleus of its new and smaller host galaxy. Meanwhile, NGC 1275 reformed its present, relatively small black hole through accumulated minor mergers and accretion.

In this paper, we discuss the plausibility of this scenario for NGC 1277 and the prospect of black hole migration in clusters of galaxies generally. In §1, we consider the formation and escape of the UMBH. In §2, we discuss the likelihood of capture of the runaway black hole by another and smaller galaxy in the cluster. In §3, we discuss the implications of this scenario. We assume a concordance cosmology with $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$Mpc$^{-1}$.

## 2. THE ESCAPE

On the basis of dynamical models of the stellar light profile and kinematics of NGC 1277, VB12 derived a black hole mass of $17 \pm 3 \times 10^9\ M_\odot$. This is one of the most massive black holes yet found from dynamical studies of galactic nuclei. This large mass is dramatically out of proportion to the host galaxy, for which VB12 give a total stellar mass of $1.2 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{11}\ M_\odot$. These authors fit the light profile with a disky component plus a central pseudo-bulge containing 24% of the light. Therefore $M_{\rm BH}$ is 14% of the total stellar mass and $\sim 59\%$ of the bulge mass. In contrast, black holes in galactic nuclei typically have a mass $\sim 10^{-2.9}$ of the bulge mass (Kormendy & Gebhardt 2001). Although NGC 1277 has an unusually large stellar velocity dispersion for its luminosity, $\sigma_* \approx 333$ km s$^{-1}$, its black hole mass still exceeds by nearly an order-of-magnitude the value expected by the normal $M_{\rm BH} - \sigma$ relationship (VB12). NGC 1277 is such an extreme outlier in the $M_{\rm BH} - \sigma$ plane as to raise the question of a qualitatively different evolutionary history from normal supermassive black holes in galactic nuclei.

Black holes with enormous masses similar to that in NGC 1277 have been discovered in recent years. They are mostly found in large elliptical galaxies, often brightest cluster galaxies (BCGs). McConnell et al. (2011b, 2012) present measurements for four BCGs and summarize earlier work. Notable cases include $M_{\rm BH} = 21 \pm 16 \times 10^9\ M_\odot$ for NGC 4889 in the Coma cluster, $9.7 \pm 2.5 \times 10^9\ M_\odot$ for NGC 3842 in Abell 1367, $3.6 \pm 1.1 \times 10^9\ M_\odot$ for NGC 6086 in Abell 2162, and $6.6 \pm 0.4 \times 10^9\ M_\odot$ for M87 in the Virgo Cluster (McConnell et al. 2012; Gebhardt et al. 2011). Consistent with these measurements, cosmological simulations by Yoo et al. (2007) show that black holes with mass up to $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{10}\ M_\odot$ can form by mergers in massive clusters.

NGC 1277 is located in the core of the Perseus Cluster of galaxies ($z = 0.018$), one of the largest nearby clusters (richness class 2). The dominant galaxy of this cluster is NGC 1275, a large cD galaxy with a radio source (Per A), X-ray emission (Fabian et al. 2011, and references

---

[1] Department of Astronomy, University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712; shields@astro.as.utexas.edu
[2] Quest University Canada, 3200 University Boulevard, Squamish, BC, V8B 0N8, Canada; erin.bonning@questu.ca

therein), and optical AGN activity with a narrow-line (Sy 2) spectrum (Seyfert 1943). The nucleus of NGC 1275 is the most natural place to form a UMBH (followed by NGC 1272, the next brightest galaxy in the cluster). For the largest galaxies, the bulge luminosity is a better predictor of $M_{\rm BH}$ than is $\sigma_*$ (e.g., Lauer et al. 2007a). The luminosity of NGC 1275 is half a magnitude fainter (in $M_{\rm V}$) than NGC 4889, and similar to that of NGC 3842, according to the Hyperleda database (Paturel et al. 2003).[3] Thus, it is reasonable to consider the possibility that a UMBH of the mass of the one in NGC 1277 may have originally formed in NGC 1275. Note, however, that there is considerable scatter in the $M_{\rm BH} - L$ relationship for the largest galaxies and black holes (McConnell et al. 2012, and references therein).

How might a UMBH have been ejected from the nucleus of NGC 1275? One possibility is gravitational radiation recoil when two black holes merge (Merritt et al. 2004). The escape velocity from the nucleus may be estimated as $v_{\rm esc} \approx 5\sigma_*$ (Merritt et al. 2009), giving $v_{\rm esc} \approx 1250$ km s$^{-1}$ based on $\sigma_* \approx 250$ km s$^{-1}$ for NGC 1275 (Heckman et al. 1985). Gravitational radiation recoil during the final merger of spinning black holes of similar mass is capable of launching the product black hole with kick velocities upwards of several thousand km s$^{-1}$ (Campanelli et al. 2007a; González et al. 2007). The magnitude and probability of the recoil velocity is dependent on the spin alignment of the black holes. Initally, kicks of up to 4000 km s$^{-1}$ were predicted for anti-aligned spins in the orbital plane (Campanelli et al. 2007b). This may be an astrophysically disfavored scenario in the case of gas-rich mergers, as accretion may align the black hole spins with the binary orbital axis, limiting recoil velocities to several hundred km s$^{-1}$(Bogdanović et al. 2007; Dotti et al. 2010). In recent years, further exploration of non-linear spin couplings has indicated that even larger kicks can result from BH spins partially aligned with the orbital angular momentum (Lousto & Zlochower 2011; Lousto et al. 2012; Lousto & Zlochower 2012). The probability of large recoil velocity increases in the light of these results. For both black holes maximally spinning, Lousto & Zlochower (2012) give a maximum kick of 4900 km s$^{-1}$ for equal mass holes, dropping only to 4500 km s$^{-1}$ for $q \equiv M_2/M_1 = 0.5$. The probability is 9% for kicks greater than 1000 km s$^{-1}$ in the "hot accretion" cosmology-based simulations by Lousto & Zlochower (2012). However, this reflects the effect of accretion of gas in aligning the black hole spins and suppressing large kicks. The merger leading to the formation of a UMBH in NGC 1275 may well have been "dry", in which case the simulations with random spin orientations by Lousto et al. (2010) may be more appropriate. Figure 26 of Lousto et al. (2010) indicates a fraction $\sim 25\%$ of mergers with nearly equal masses will give $v_{\rm kick} > 1250$ km s$^{-1}$. Even this value may be pessimistic, because it assumes a uniform distribution of spin magnitudes, whereas astrophysical black holes are likely to be rapidly spinning because of past accretion and mergers. Furthermore, this value does not reflect the increase in $v_{\rm kick}$ caused by the new "cross kick" and "hangup kick" effects discussed by Lousto & Zlochower (2012), and references therein. Accordingly, the production of runaway black holes, and their subsequent capture, may be a common occurrence in clusters and groups of galaxies.

The escaping black hole will carry with it a compact cluster of bound stars (Merritt et al. 2009). Essentially, this will involve the stars that were within the radius such that they are bound to the hole after the kick, $r_{\rm k} = GM_{\rm BH}/v^2_{\rm kick}$. This is smaller than the pre-kick radius of influence $r_{\rm infl} = GM_{\rm BH}/\sigma^2$, which has a value $\sim 1$ kpc for NGC 1275 for $M_{\rm BH} \approx 10^{10}\ M_\odot$. If we follow Merritt et al. in assuming a power-law stellar density profile inside $r_{\rm infl}$ such that $\rho \propto r^{-\gamma}$ then the mass of bound stars as a fraction of the black hole mass is $f_{\rm b} \equiv M_{\rm b}/M_{\rm BH} \approx 10^{-2}$ or less for $\gamma$ in the range 1 to 2. This is consistent with the more detailed treatment by Merritt et al. Thus, the bound cluster, while an interesting potential diagnostic of the kick velocity in other contexts, will be small in comparison to the observed stellar mass of NGC 1277. The runaway black hole must have merged with one or more galaxies to form the system that we observe as NGC 1277 today.

## 3. THE CAPTURE

For $v_{\rm esc} = 1250$ km s$^{-1}$ from the nucleus of NGC 1275, the runaway UMBH will leave the galaxy with a terminal velocity of 800 km s$^{-1}$ for $v_{\rm kick} = 1500$ km s$^{-1}$ or 1300 km s$^{-1}$ for $v_{\rm kick} = 1800$ km s$^{-1}$. The latter value is similar to the velocity dispersion $\sigma_{\rm cl} \approx 1300$ km s$^{-1}$ of the Perseus Cluster (Struble & Rood 1991) and would allow the UMBH to orbit through the cluster core. For gravitational capture by a colliding galaxy, simple considerations of dynamical friction suggest an impact parameter $b$ of order 10 kpc or less, as well as a relative velocity between the runaway hole and the galaxy somewhat below $\sigma_{\rm cl}$. The map of Brunzendorf & Meusinger (1999) shows roughly 70 galaxies of type E and S0 in a core approximately 1 degree square including and extending to the west of NGC 1275. The luminosity of these galaxies shows a broad distribution encompassing the luminosity of NGC 1277. For a cubical volume of (1.3 Mpc)$^3$ this gives a volume density of $n_{\rm gal} \approx 10^{-2.5}$ galaxies per cubic Mpc. The mean free path is then $\lambda \approx (n_{\rm gal}\pi b^2)^{-1} \approx 10^{2.0}\, b_{10}^{-2}$ Mpc. The typical collision time is then $t_{\rm coll} \approx \lambda/v \approx (10^{11.0}$ yr$)b_{10}^{-2}$, or a chance of about $10^{-1}$ in a Hubble time. The implication of this very rough estimate is simply that capture of the runaway hole by a galaxy of the size of NGC 1277 is plausible. This is consistent with the fact that galaxy mergers in clusters are indeed observed. Once in orbit, dynamical friction leads to the in-spiral of the black hole to the center of the galaxy in a time $\sim 10^8$ yr. Thus, if the capture occurred more than a billion years ago, there has been ample time for the galaxy to settle down to its current, symmetrical appearance.

## 4. DISCUSSION

The scenario outlined here is speculative, but it involves known processes. Any explanation of the UMBH in NGC 1277 is likely to involve exceptional events. This object may be telling us that we have more to learn about the formation of giant galaxies and black holes and about the process of gravitational radiation recoil. For example, will a dry merger of two ellipticals lead to configurations favorable to high velocity kicks?

---

[3] http://leda.univ-lyon1.fr

Is the present day appearance of NGC 1275 consistent with the idea that it long ago formed and ejected a UMBH? One indicator might be the presence of an unexpectedly small black hole in the nucleus today. Scaling linearly in $L_{\rm V}$ from NGC 4889 or NGC 3842, one might expect $M_{\rm BH} \approx 10^{9.5} M_\odot$ in NGC 1275. In contrast, Wilman et al. (2005) derive $M_{\rm BH} \approx 10^{8.5} M_\odot$ from a study of the molecular gas in the nucleus. This may be consistent with regeneration of a moderate size black hole in the nucleus of NGC 1275, by means of later mergers with smaller galaxies or accretion of gas. However, other massive BCG's have comparatively moderate size black holes, e.g. NGC 1399 in Fornax with $M_{\rm BH} = 10^{8.7}\ M_\odot$ and IC 4296 in Abell 3565 with $M_{\rm BH} = 10^{9.1}\ M_\odot$ (Gebhardt et al. 2007; Dalla Bontà et al. 2009). Therefore, the modest value of $M_{\rm BH}$ in NGC 1275 today is consistent with, but not demanding of, the expulsion of a larger black hole at earlier times (unless the other BCGs with modest black holes have also experienced recoil events).

Many large elliptical galaxies have cores in which the density profile increases toward the center more gently than in galaxies with a central cusp. One explanation of these cores is scouring by a binary SMBH during its in-spiral to the nucleus (Milosavljević & Merritt 2001). Lauer et al. (2007a,b) find that cores are prevalent in brighter ellipticals, with $M_{\rm V} < -21$. The mass deficit involved in these cores is of order the central black hole mass. If NGC 1275 formed and ejected a UMBH, then a substantial core might be expected, with a radius $\sim 1$ kpc and a mass deficit out of proportion to the mass of the current black hole in NGC 1275. Optical and ultraviolet surface brightness profiles by Marcum et al. (2001) show no break down to a radius $\sim$ 0.3 kpc. However, Lauer et al. (2007a) find considerable scatter in core mass and radius relative to $M_{\rm BH}$. Moreover, the merger and recoil event likely occurred many billions of years ago. The escape of the UMBH, as well as subsequent mergers and accretion of gas into the nucleus of NGC 1275, could affect the core. Further study is needed to evaluate this test in the case of NGC 1275. More generally recoil may be an interesting possibility for other galactic nuclei having a core that is unusually large in relation to the central black hole.

Is the present day appearance of NGC 1277 consistent with this scenario? One possible difficulty is the lack of a classical bulge. VB12 report only a psuedo-bulge in NGC 1277 having 24% of the light, the rest being in a flattened disk. Simulations of the capture are needed to determine whether a merger with a black hole having 14% of the stellar mass of the galaxy can avoid forming a bulge and disrupting the disk. Key questions are the effect of the merger on the binding energy and angular momentum of the galaxy. The merger could add a substantial amount of angular momentum to the galaxy. VB12 find a rotational velocity $v_{\rm rot} \approx 250$ km s$^{-1}$ for NGC 1277, and an effective radius for the starlight of $R_{\rm e} = 1.6$ kpc. If the black hole approaches with an impact parameter $b$ and a relative velocity $v_{\rm rel}$ , then its angular momentum as a fraction of the current rotational angular momentum of the galaxy is

$$J_{\rm BH}/J_{\rm gal} \approx 10^{0.2} b_{10} M_{10} v_{rel,3}, \tag{1}$$

where $b_{10} = b/10$ kpc, $M_{10} = M_{\rm BH}/10^{10}\ M_\odot$, and $v_{rel,3} = v_{\rm rel}/10^3$ km s$^{-1}$. The merger could have contributed substantially to the current rotation of the galaxy.

Black hole migration in galaxy groups and clusters may occur with some frequency. Volonteri (2007) discusses the ejection of black holes following galaxy mergers in cosmological simulations and illustrates how this can contribute downward scatter in the $M_{\rm BH}$ - bulge relationships. Escape from the post-merger host galaxy is more likely in small galaxies because of their small escape velocity, and such events may be particularly prevalent in the early universe as hierarchical merging begins to build the large galaxies of today. Cosmological models suggest that today's large galaxies typically have undergone at least one major merger, and the building blocks presumably have themselves partly grown by mergers. As noted by Blecha et al. (2011), runaway black holes may stand a good chance to be reincorporated into adoptive galaxies. This can contribute upward scatter to the black hole - bulge relationship when large black holes fall into relatively small galaxies. NGC 1277 may be an extreme example.

The large mass and relative proximity of the UMBH in NGC 1277 places special requirements on the fueling of such a UMBH if it grew entirely by accretion of gas (Fabian et al. 2013). These requirements are eased if the UMBH reached its final size by means of dry mergers.

Black hole recoil from a merger during an AGN phase should lead to a residual bound accretion disk traveling with the moving black hole (Loeb 2007). This leads to possible electromagnetic signatures, as discussed by a number of authors. Searches for recoiling black holes using velocity-displaced AGN emission lines have yielded tight upper limits on the rate of occurrence (Bonning et al. 2007), although interesting candidates have been identified (e.g., Civano et al. 2012). The rarity of such objects may reflect unfavorable black hole spin alignments resulting from accretion of gas (Bogdanović et al. 2007). This underscores the importance of considering other manifestations of black hole recoil. The alignment process may not apply if NGC 1275 formed through dry mergers.

Our scenario requires a combination of seemingly unlikely events. NGC 1275 must have produced an exceptionally massive black hole though a merger of two fairly equal mass black holes, themselves already comparable to the largest black holes in nearby BCGs. The merger must have had a favorable spin-orbit configuration leading to a large recoil velocity. And the runaway black hole must have been captured by NGC 1277, an unusual galaxy in terms of its velocity dispersion and compactness. Rare events do occur, but the plausibility of the runaway-capture scenario may be strained if some of the five other high $\sigma_*$ galaxies listed by VB12 prove to have a similarly massive UMBHs. Meanwhile, it is important to consider all possibilities. Confirmation of the black hole migration scenario would have significant implications for the evolution of galaxies and for our understanding of general relativity in the strong field limit.

G.S. gratefully acknowledges the support of the Jane and Roland Blumberg Centennial Professorship in Astronomy at the University of Texas at Austin. E.W.B. thanks Carlos Lousto for helpful discussions. We acknowledge the usage of the HyperLeda database (http://leda.univ-lyon1.fr). This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu).

## REFERENCES

Blecha, L., Cox, T. J., Loeb, A., & Hernquist, L. 2011, MNRAS, 412, 2154
Bogdanović, T., Reynolds, C. S., & Miller, M. C. 2007, ApJ, 661, L147
Bonning, E. W., Shields, G. A., & Salviander, S. 2007, ApJ, 666, L13
Brunzendorf, j. & Meusinger, H. 1999, Astron. Ap. Supp., 139, 141
Campanelli, M., Lousto, C., Zlochower, Y., & Merritt, D. 2007, ApJ, 659, L5
Campanelli, M., Lousto, C., Zlochower, Y., & Merritt, D. 2007, Phys. Rev. Lett., 98, 231102
Civano, F., et al. 2012, ApJ, 752, 49
Dalla Bontá, E., et al. 2009, ApJ, 690, 537
Dotti, M., Volonteri, M., Perego, A., Colpi, M., Ruszkowski, M. and Haardt, F. 2010, MNRAS, 402, 682
Fabian, A. C., 2011, MNRAS, 418, 2154
Fabian, A. C., Sanders, J. S., Haehnelt, M., Rees, M. J., & Miller, J. M. 2013, MNRAS, in press, arXiv:1301.1800
Gebhardt, K., et al. 2007, ApJ, 671, 1321
Gebhardt, K. , et al. 2011, ApJ, 729, 119
González, J. A., Hannam, M., Sperhake, U., Brügmann, B., & Husa, S. 2007, Physical Review Letters, 98, 231101
Heckman, T. M., Illingworth, G. D., Miley, G. K., & van Breugel, W. J. M. 1985, ApJ, 299, 41
Kormendy, J., & Gebhardt, K. 2001, in The 20th Texas Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics. AIP Conference Proceedings, Volume 586, pp. 363-381
Lauer, T. R. 2007a, ApJ, 662, 808
Lauer, T. R. 2007b, ApJ, 664, 226
Loeb, A. 2007, Phys. Rev. Lett., 99, 041103
Lousto, C. O., Nakano, H., Zlochower, Y. , & Campanelli, M. 2011, Phys. Rev. D, 81, 084023
Lousto, C. O. & Zlochower, Y. 2011, Phys. Rev. Lett.,107, 231102
Lousto, C. O., Zlochower, Y., Dotti, M., & Volonteri, M. 2012, Phys. Rev. D., 85, 084015
Lousto, C. O. & Zlochower, Y. 2012, preprint, arXiv1211.7099
Marcum, P. M. 2001, ApJS, 132, 129
McConnell, N. J. et al. 2011a, ApJ, 728, 100
McConnell, N. J. et al. 2011b, Nature, 480, 215
McConnell, N. J. et al. 2012, ApJ, 756, 179
Merritt, D., Milosavljević, M., Favata, M., Hughes, S. A., & Holz, D. E. 2004, ApJ, 607, L9
Merritt, D., Schnittman, J. D., & Komassa, S. 2009, ApJ, 699, 1690
Milosavljević, M., , & Merritt, D., 2001, ApJ, 563, 34
Paturel G., Petit C., Prugniel P., Theureau G., Rousseau J., Brouty M., Dubois P., Cambrésy L., 2003, A&A, 412, 45
Seyfert, C. K. 1943, ApJ, 97, 28
Struble, M. F., & Rood, H. J. 1991, ApJS, 77, 363
van den Bosch, R. C. S., Gebhardt, K., Gültekin K., van de Ven, G., van der Wel, A., & Walsh, J. L. 2012, Nature, 491, 729 (VB12)
Volonteri, M. 2007, ApJ, 663, L5
Wilman, R. J., Edge, A. C., & Johnstone, R. M. 2005, MNRAS, 359, 755
Yoo, J., Miralda-Escud'e, J., Weinberg, D. H., Zheng, Z., & Morgan, C. W. 2007, ApJ, 667, 813